

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/646,779	08/25/2003	Raquel Sanchez	47092.00039 1084		
32294	7590 07/26/2006		EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			DESIR, PIERRE LOUIS		
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,779	SANCHEZ, RAQUEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Pierre-Louis Desir	2617		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATIO	N IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replications the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Ap a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 time periods:	es: (1) an amendment, aff peal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final	I rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than S Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY	tion, or (2) the date set forth IX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			220 **********
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension an under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	d the corresponding amount statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wi	th 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior t	o the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) \(\sum \) They raise new issues that would require further consideration			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	•	,·	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form f appeal; and/or	or appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspor	nding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a	ttached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-46</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s	•		=
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does No See Continuation Sheet.	OT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/	08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:		1/11	
. 1	ſ.		
W	1/1	ull	
17	SIDEDVICORY	AM ELITO	_
1 '	SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINE	7

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/646,779

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Independent claims have been amended with limitations that was previously disclosed in the preamble. This amendment changes the scope of the claim, and as such would require further consideration and/or search. The preamble's recitation has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).